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More Than 40 National Organizations Urge Senators to Oppose Gina Haspel's Confirmation as CIA Director, Joining a Growing Chorus of Voices This Week Condemning Her Role In Torture Program

Sent to All Members of the Senate, Letter Comes As News Reports Indicate Increased Scrutiny and Criticism of Haspel by Republican and Democratic Senators

Washington, D.C. – Today, more than 40 national organizations representing a diverse cross-section of interests and backgrounds sent a letter to all 100 senators urging them to oppose the confirmation of Gina Haspel to replace Mike Pompeo as CIA Director. The letter, which cites Haspel’s role and active participation in the CIA’s now-defunct torture program, comes as news reports this week illustrate a nomination becoming increasingly imperiled, with mounting scrutiny and criticism of Haspel’s record by members of the Senate, including key Republicans. The Wall Street Journal’s Byron Tau reported that several Republicans have said, “they have concerns about Ms. Haspel and haven’t decided whether to support her nomination,” while Sen. Angus King said: “Her nomination to me comes down to the destruction of the video tapes... [she was an] advocate for the destruction of evidence ... she wasn't a clerk. She was the chief of staff.”

The letter – signed by organizations from the faith, civil liberties, human rights, and open government communities, among many others – continues adding to the growing list of prominent figures this week voicing their opposition to Haspel, including more than 50 Virginia state leaders who yesterday urged Sens. Warner and Kaine to oppose the nomination, and two dozen torture treatment centers across the country who today also urged opposition.

“Any vote related to her nomination (whether in committee or on the Senate floor) will be seen as a referendum on torture—by the public, by U.S. allies and enemies, and perhaps most alarmingly by the President, who has openly advocated for torture,” the letter states. “If the Trump White House is going to tell the next CIA director to bring back “enhanced interrogation”—or to pursue other reckless and damaging activities in areas like surveillance, or the use of force—such orders are most likely to issue in the wake of another national crisis, and again be accompanied...
by purported legal justification. In those circumstances, why would members expect Ms. Haspel to choose differently?"

Some have defended Haspel’s past involvement in the torture program by arguing that she was only following orders, which has stirred increased criticism of her nomination since she would be taking orders from President Trump, who has repeatedly voiced his support for reinstating elements of the CIA’s detention and interrogation program, including the use of black sites.

“This is a defining moment," the letter states. “Senators who are truly committed to turning the page on one of the darkest chapters in American history should refuse to reward one of that chapter’s authors.”

The text of the full letter (and complete list of signatories) is below and can also be accessed here.

- - - - - - - - - -

April 19, 2018

Dear Senator:

We are a diverse group of organizations from the faith, human rights, civil liberties, and open government communities, among many others, writing to urge you to oppose Gina Haspel’s nomination for CIA Director. It remains undisputed that Ms. Haspel was deeply involved in the CIA’s now-defunct torture program. As such, a vote to confirm her is incompatible with a meaningful commitment to the prohibition on torture.

Unfortunately, the precise details of Ms. Haspel's participation in “enhanced interrogation” are still secret. Senators deserve comprehensive information about Ms. Haspel's background and should refuse to take a vote on her nomination without it. But until the CIA declassifies such an exhaustive record, and unless that record proves Ms. Haspel played no role in the torture program—which former CIA General Counsel John Rizzo describes her as having “run”—we believe she is unfit to serve as the public-facing director for one of the government’s most powerful and secretive agencies. That she reportedly advocated for, and contributed to, destroying videotape evidence that captured the brutal reality of what “enhanced interrogation” really meant only further tarnishes her candidacy.

Some answer these grave concerns by claiming Ms. Haspel is a decorated intelligence professional, experienced, and well-respected at the CIA. That may all be true. But responsibility for torture is an extraordinary moral offense that ordinary kinds of qualifications simply cannot overcome.
Others have suggested that Congress should excuse Ms. Haspel’s choices because she was only following orders. Whether or not you consider that a plausible legal defense it is no justification in the present context. The question before you is not whether Ms. Haspel should be indicted, or investigated, or otherwise punished. President Trump is asking you to promote her to lead the CIA. Following orders or not, Ms. Haspel chose to embrace a program that, as she knew better than most, was brutally dismantling other human beings both physically and psychologically.

According to internal CIA e-mails, the agency’s own personnel—stationed at the “black site” prison Ms. Haspel is credibly reported to have run in late 2002—were “profoundly affected” by the torture in which they were involved. Some were disturbed “to the point of tears and choking up.” One official warned that several personnel were “likely to elect transfer” if the torture continued. Even if Ms. Haspel arrived on-site after these particular torture sessions ended (but before the next round, with a new detainee, began), it is nearly impossible to imagine that she did not know the gravity of what was happening. She chose to help drive the program forward nonetheless.

If Ms. Haspel’s nomination advances to a hearing, she may well promise not to resurrect the CIA torture program, even if ordered to do so, because she believes that current law clearly prohibits it. Senators who rely on that kind of assurance to justify supporting Ms. Haspel do so at substantial risk. First, no matter what Ms. Haspel says during a hearing, any vote related to her nomination (whether in committee or on the Senate floor) will be seen as a referendum on torture—by the public, by U.S. allies and enemies, and perhaps most alarmingly by the President, who has openly advocated for torture. Second, Ms. Haspel has already been tested when it matters most, and she failed. Torture has always been unlawful, notwithstanding the Justice Department’s notorious, results-oriented legal reasoning in the aftermath of the September 11, 2001 attacks. If the Trump White House is going to tell the next CIA director to bring back “enhanced interrogation”—or to pursue other reckless and damaging activities in areas like surveillance, or the use of force—such orders are most likely to issue in the wake of another national crisis, and again be accompanied by purported legal justification. In those circumstances, why would members expect Ms. Haspel to choose differently?

This is a defining moment: Senators who are truly committed to turning the page on one of the darkest chapters in American history should refuse to reward one of that chapter’s authors. We urge you to oppose Gina Haspel’s nomination for CIA Director.

Sincerely,
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Coalition for an Ethical Psychology
CODEPINK
Conference of Major Superiors of Men
Council on American-Islamic Relations
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